

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

07/297,333 01/17/89

HERTZOG

3020044150

WILLIAM H. THROWER ALLIED-SIGNAL INC. LAW DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 31 PETERSBURG, VA 23804

REAMER, J

126

02/15/90

	17/1/42
☐ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. [Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
3. [5] 5. [теления в него в настителения него него него него него него него него
3. ∟	Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. 1)	Claims 176 8 are pending in the application.
7	
	Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.
2. 🗆	Claims have been cancelled.
з. 🗆	Claims are allowed.
لد	
4. 17	Ctalms 170 8 are rejected.
5.	Claims are objected to.
6.	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9.	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
	are acceptable. Inot acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10.	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the
	examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11.	The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation).
12.	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received not been received.
	been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on
13.	Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14.	Other

Art Unit 126

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set torth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person naving ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1 to 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Sifniadis et al in combination with Barilli et al and Anderson et al, for the reasons of record. The use of a convenient solvent such as acetone is clearly taught by the secondary references.

Thus use of such a solvent such as acetone is clearly taught by the secondary references. The use of such a solvent in the instant three step process is indicated by the fact that Sifniadis et al teaches the use of acetone in Examples 1 to 5 and 13 to 17. The determination of the specific ratio of acetone to be used is considered easily determinable by one of ordinary skill in the art especially since applicants

Serial No. 07/297333

Art Unit 126

have not presented any side-by-side comparisons to show the criticality of the instant acetone concentration range.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the tiling of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE (3) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO (2) MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE (3) MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

JAMES H. REAMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120 - ART UNIT 126

REAMER: cwh

A/C 703

557-3920

02-13-90